

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

ALEX GOLDOVSKY, GLYNN §  
FRECHETTE, JOHN KRUPEY, KRISTIN §  
SCHARF § NO: WA:24-CV-00159-ADA-DTG  
§  
vs. §

MAURICIO J. RAULD, PREMIER LAW  
GROUP, TIMOTHY B. GERTZ, PV  
ADVISORS, PLC, CITIZENS &  
NORTHERN BANK, WELLS FARGO  
BANK, N.A.

**ORDER SETTING INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE VIA ZOOM**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the above entitled and numbered case is set for INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE VIA ZOOM on Thursday, June 27, 2024 at 02:00 PM (30 min time block) before the Honorable Derek T. Gilliland. The Zoom hearing link will be provided to counsel of record via email.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the parties confer in the manner required by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; further, pursuant to Local Rule CV-16 the parties should submit their Joint Discovery/Case management Plan in a form that substantially conforms with Appendix N of the Local Rules and that answers the following no later than three (3) days before the hearing.

1. Are there any outstanding jurisdictional issues? For removed cases based on diversity jurisdiction, do the parties agree that the amount of controversy exceeded \$75,000 at the time of removal? If not, each party should state its position on the amount in controversy.
2. Are there any unserved parties? if more than 90 days have passed since the filing of the Complaint or petition, should these unserved parties be dismissed?
3. What are the causes of action, defenses, and counterclaims pled?
4. Are there any agreements or stipulations that can be made about any facts in this case or any element in the cause(s) of action?

5. State the parties' views and proposals on all items identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3).
6. What, if any, discovery has been completed? What discovery remains to be done?  
Have the parties considered conducting discovery in phases?
7. What, if any, discovery disputes exist?
8. Have the parties discussed the desireability of filing a proposed order pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502?
9. Have the parties discussed mediation?

The parties are **FURTHER ORDERED** to submit a proposed scheduling order in the format included under the undersigned standing order at least three (3) days before the hearing.

During their conference, the parties should also address whether a protective order is required, and whether the protective order in Appendix H-1 (to govern standard cases) or Appendix H-2 (to govern complex cases) of this Court's Local Rules is appropriate. The Court will address the substance of the parties' joint report and discovery plan at the Initial Pretrial Conference.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

SIGNED this 8th day of May, 2024.



\_\_\_\_\_  
DEREK T. GILLILAND  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE